

Cary Park District  
Board of Commissioners  
**Special Meeting**  
February 4, 2021  
7:00 PM  
Community Center  
255 Briargate Rd.  
Cary, Illinois

## **MINUTES**

### **Call to Order**

President Frangiamore called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM.

### **Roll Call**

Upon roll call the following Commissioners answered present:  
Mr. Frangiamore, Mr. Stanko, Mrs. Victor, Mr. Murphy, and Mr. Renner.

### **Staff Present**

Sara Kelly, Deputy Director; David Raica, Director of Planning and Development; Dan Jones, Executive Director.

### **Guests Present**

Ian Lamp, Lamp Inc.; Frank Parisi, Williams Architects; Jill Carasso; Neil Williams

### **Matters from the Public, Commissioners and Staff**

There were no matters from the public.

Commissioners Murphy, Renner and Victor all noted that they attended the IPRA/IAPD Annual Conference virtually. Renner stated that he recently visited all 42 park sites in one day and was pleased to see people out using nearly every park site.

Executive Director Jones updated the Board on an outdoor electrical panel fire at Foxford Hills Golf Club. He updated the Board on Region 9's move into Phase 4 and modifications to the Park District's operations and program offerings.

### **Discussion – Schematic Design Review, Outdoor Aquatic Park**

Jones introduced Ian Lamp and Frank Parisi. Jones recapped the aquatic project progress to date and future activities of the Board related to the project. Jones stated that Lamp and Parisi would walk the Board through potential alternates as well as the most current cost opinion.

Jones noted that there are no decisions to be made by the Board this evening and that staff and consultants are interested in getting feedback from the Board, confirmation of progress to date and validation of direction.

Lamp presented the most up to date opinion of cost for the project. Lamp reviewed his perspective on the bidding environment, noting that he expected it to be competitive which should benefit the Park District. Lamp noted that his team continues to refine the opinion of cost and will have another version of it available for the Board during its February 25<sup>th</sup> meeting.

Parisi walked the Board through the alternates presently being considered for the project. Parisi stated that WA expects to send project documents down to the Illinois Dept. of Public Health for permit review within the next two weeks. WA expects the IDPH permit to take as long as five months. Parisi stated that WA plans to submit to the Village of Cary for permit review by the end of February.

Frangiamore requested discussion by the Board.

Murphy requested cost estimates for each alternate. Lamp replied with a cost estimate for each of the proposed alternates. Murphy stated that he felt the Trellis feature was important and would be an alternate he would like to see included. He stated that the power pedestals might be something that Cary Park Foundation should consider as an item to fund.

Stanko asked about the width of the secondary emergency access path. Raica replied that it is designed to be 12 ft wide. Stanko stated that he too was interested in the cost estimates for the alternates. Stanko asked if the Trellis could be added later, if not included during construction. Parisi stated that the Trellis cannot be added later as it is currently designed, but that WA would look further at this item and there may be some features that could be added if the Trellis isn't built now that would allow it to be added later. Stanko asked if outdoor lockers can be added later and Parisi replied that this item would be very easy to add later. Stanko stated that when the bids are received it would be good to have information included with the alternates pertaining to which would be easy or hard to add in the future. Stanko noted that items like trees may be added over time rather easily.

Renner requested an explanation of the building located behind the flume slides and if it was new. Parisi reviewed this item as a satellite small filter area to support the flume slides. He reviewed the distance of the slides to the main filter building and the efficiency that comes about with the addition of the second filter area. Lamp noted that this feature is not new, but that until now, it had not shown up in detail on the project documents. Renner stated that if it is possible we should try to get sod laid and begin growing grass in the grassy area in the Fall of 2021. Lamp stated that the permit allowances and other construction factors will dictate some of this, but that they too believe this would be a good thing. Renner asked about plans to irrigate the grassy areas. Jones stated that yard hydrants have been included and the Park District has a Kifco water reel. Renner asked about permanent irrigation? Jones stated that this was considered as staff believes it would be useful to maintain the area but the idea abandoned due to cost. Renner stated it might be something to look at as an alternate.

Victor asked about the solar beacons and clarification of the cost estimate provided by Lamp. She asked if SD155 might be approached about assisting financially with the beacon to be located at the cross walk from near parking lot (by CGHS) to far lot (Cary-Grove Park). Jones stated that he could ask SD155 about this. Victor stated that the Trellis is an important feature. Victor stated that she too believed the power pedestals might be something the Cary Park Foundation might consider. Victor stated that while staff has provided information to the Board on the project, she requested that the Board receive better information from staff, related to alternate costs, breaking out individual items and other parts, as the project moves ahead.

Frangiamore stated that the sand volleyball courts are an item that SD155 should be asked about, and if they want to participate in the offset of cost in some manner. Frangiamore stated the outdoor lockers are another item the Cary Park Foundation might also have interest in looking at. Frangiamore stated that he agreed with several other comments already made by other Commissioners. He stated that the look

of the facility should be aesthetically pleasing and attention should be paid to materials selection that considers the life span of the feature and improving it.

Stanko stated that he believed that adding more cabanas makes more sense than the inclusion of power pedestals. He stated that he was interested in hearing from other Board members on this item. Renner stated that he did not feel discussion or decisions such as this had be considered tonight. He felt this was an informational meeting and that discussion would be had once bids are received. Victor asked for data to be provided on the cabana rentals from the current pool. Victor asked about the timing of decisions related to the addition or inclusion of items in the project. Lamp stated that after bids are received is one point in time and another is related to allowances for other portions of the project, that if the allowance is not used, those dollars may be reconsidered for other items in the project. Stanko stated that he believes discussion on items like this is important so that staff may hear the preferences of the Board. Stanko stated that after the Board considers the bid results and gives direction, staff will be moving the project ahead and that the Board will not be having specific items brought before it for individual decisions, rather the Board will receive updates.

Frangiamore asked if there was additional discussion, hearing none, he asked for a motion to adjourn.

***Motion by Victor to adjourn. Second by Stanko.***

Voice vote: Yes – 5. No – None. Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 8:35 PM.



---

Daniel C. Jones, Secretary  
Park District Board of Commissioners