

Cary Park District
Board of Commissioners
Planning & Development Committee
February 10, 2011
Cary Community Center
255 Briargate Road
Cary, IL

Minutes

Committee Members Present: Renner, Stanko

Committee Members Absent: Harvey

Commissioners Present: Hill, Krueger

Staff Present: Jones, Glenn, Raica, Hughes, Rea, Kelly (7:35 PM)

Guests Present: Ed Cooney, Tom Emma

Chair Renner called the meeting to order at 7:32 PM.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

The minutes from the October 14, 2010 meeting were presented for approval.

Motion by Stanko; second by Renner. All voting aye. Motion carried.

There were no matters from the public, staff, or Commissioners.

For discussion was the upcoming dredging of Cary Vets Pond. Ed Cooney, of E. Cooney Associates, was present to discuss the progress of the permitting process and to answer any questions and concerns the Commissioners had.

The Army Corps of Engineers and the IEPA are the agencies from which initial permits will be obtained. The application was submitted to the ACE on 1-11-11 and review is to begin 2-25-11. After that review, the IEPA will begin its own review. Several permits will be needed for the dredging to begin.

Cooney indicated that this was a good time of year to begin the permitting process since the agencies had less to review.

Changes from the originally suggested procedure to the vacuum method of dredging would be cheaper and take a shorter time. The EPA is not in favor of the hydraulic method and choosing an alternate would expedite the review process. Therefore, it was suggested that the contractor adopt a combination procedure.

It was stressed that there was need to verify contractor references once the bidding process beings. Also, stress was put on the importance of determining the “fate” of the

sediment before the need arises. Once soil conditioner is added, bulk of the sediment will increase and it is necessary to factor this into its final resting place.

County issues will include a grading plan and its affect on the water shed and flood plain. There is to be no storage in the flood plain.

Stanko inquired as to what percentage of the maximum 1800 cu. yds. can be harvested and was told that it could possibly be 650 cu. yds. per muck bag, which is the lowest estimate. This figure is basically an unknown as the dredging begins. There was also a question as to who will decide the sediment mix and it was agreed that a geo-tech landscaper would be the expert of choice.

There also will be a necessity of soil boring and the possible need of a footer to hold the storage weight.

The issue of draining will become the concern of the county.

Renner questioned the odor factor at the beginning of the dredging operation and Cooney stated that is one of the reasons to pursue this project in the fall rather than the summer. Cooney also indicated the need for community outreach to outline the scope of the project, especially to the residents who are close to the park.

There was some discussion about a return to harvest more sediment if all is not captured in the first attempt and it was explained that this is not uncommon and the 'remobilizing' should be included in a bid alternate. There would need to be all the alternates outlined in the RFP.

Costs will be determined later but the best estimate is \$150-160M. One of the popular providers of this service is U.S. Aqua Vac.

Next step would be the receipt of the ACE permit, then the IEPA's. This can be expected in March or April but more likely April

There was no need for closed session.

Motion to adjourn by Stanko; second by Renner. All voting aye.

Meeting adjourned at 8:32 PM.

Approved